

1 Henry C. Bunsow (SBN 60707)  
[bunsowh@howrey.com](mailto:bunsowh@howrey.com)  
 2 Denise M. De Mory (SBN 168076)  
[demoryd@howrey.com](mailto:demoryd@howrey.com)  
 3 HOWREY LLP  
 525 Market Street, Suite 3600  
 4 San Francisco, California 94105  
 Telephone: (415) 848-4900  
 5 Facsimile: (415) 848-4999

6 Elizabeth Houlte Fontaine (SBN 207557)  
[fontaine@howrey.com](mailto:fontaine@howrey.com)  
 7 HOWREY LLP  
 4 Park Plaza, Suite 1700  
 8 Irvine, California 92614  
 Telephone: (949) 721-6900  
 9 Facsimile: (949) 721-6910

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
 AUTHENTEC, INC.

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
 12 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
 13 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

14 AuthenTec, Inc., a Delaware corporation,  
 15 Plaintiff,  
 16 vs.  
 17 Atrua Technologies, Inc., a California  
 corporation,  
 18 Defendant.  
 19

Case No. C 08-1423 PJH

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER  
 CONTINUING CASE MANAGEMENT  
 CONFERENCE FROM JULY 3, 2008 TO  
 JULY 10, 2008**

Judge: Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton  
 Date: July 3, 2008  
 Time: 2:30 p.m.  
 Ctrm: Courtroom 3, 17th Floor

20 1. This Court's May 8, 2008 Order Setting Case Management Conference (Dkt. No. 18)  
 21 scheduled an initial Case Management Conference for July 3, 2008 in the above-entitled action.

22 2. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, the parties agree to continue the Case Management  
 23 Conference in the above-entitled action one week to July 10, 2008 at 2:30 p.m. due to a scheduling  
 24 conflict.

25 3. The only time modification made thus far in the case was a stipulation between the  
 26 parties extending for 30 days the time for Defendant Atrua Technologies, Inc. to answer Plaintiff  
 27 AuthenTec, Inc.'s complaint. No other time modifications have been made, and the continuance of the  
 28 Case Management Conference for one week will not adversely affect the schedule for the case.

1 DATED: June 6,2008

Respectfully submitted,

2 HOWREY LLP

3  
4 By: /s/ Denise M. De Mory

Denise M. De Mory

5 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
6 AUTHENTEC, INC.

7 DATED: June 6,2008

GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP

8  
9 By: /s/ J. James Li

J. James Li

10 Attorneys for Defendant  
11 ATRUA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

12  
13  
14 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2008

17  
18 \_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton  
United States District Court Judge

**CERTIFICATION BY DENISE M. DE MORY PURSUANT TO GENERAL RULE NO. 45,**

**SECTION X. RE E-FILING ON BEHALF OF MULTIPLE SIGNATORIES**

1. I am an attorney licensed to practice law in the state of California, and am an attorney in the law firm of Howrey LLP, counsel for plaintiff AuthenTec, Inc. The statements herein are made on my personal knowledge, and if called as a witness I could and would testify thereto.

2. The above e-filed document contains multiple signatures. I declare that concurrence has been obtained from each of the other signatories to file this jointly prepared document with the Court. Pursuant to General Rule No. 45, I shall maintain records to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the Court if so ordered, or for inspection upon request by a party until one year after final resolution of the action (including appeal, if any).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct on June 6, 2008.

/s/ Denise M. De Mory

Denise M. De Mory